

identity



Magazine of the British National Party

Autumn 2005 Issue 59 - £1.95

England Expects

BNP Celebrates 200th Anniversary of Trafalgar



October's News

» Norwegian Nationalists Triumph

Although the UK media reported the victory of a left Labour/Green alliance over a centre-right capitalist government in Norway's election last month, it ignored the real story. This was the massive surge in support for the Progress Party, which has now become the second biggest party in Norway. It campaigned against the continuation of immigration and emphasised the connection between African immigrants and crime. Other aspects of its policies are similar to those of the BNP.

The Labour/Green Alliance won 88 seats, with the Progress party in second place with 37 seats. Its percentage support went up from 14.8 to 22.1%.

» TUC Boss Calls For Cash For Muslims

TUC General Secretary Brendan Barber has sparked racial tension in the Beeston area of Leeds (where several of the London bombers came from) by calling for pots of government cash to be poured into the Muslim community there. This was at a conference the TUC held at the Beeston Hamara Centre with Pakistani and Bangladeshi Muslim leaders.

A local man called James told a BNP website reporter: "If I were to call for government money to be given to white people only, I would be thrown in jail."

» Global Capitalism Stealing British Jobs

The latest newsletter from the Amicus trade union reports a TPI survey that reveals eight out of ten large UK companies plan to boost offshore outsourcing to reduce costs. The survey, which questioned 100 executives, found eighty-one of the respondents to be willing to shift business to offshore countries

including India and China. About 75% of those questioned already use India as an offshore destination, 28% use Central and Eastern Europe and 25% use China.

The BNP supports the original principles of trade unionism and asks all supporters to attend union meetings and get rid of the Marxists who are channelling funds into political issues that have no bearing on workers' jobs. A classic example is the campaign started on September 27th by the GMB union to "Target the BNP." The GMB is several million pounds in debt yet is prepared to waste even more money on attacking those who are calling for positive action to stop British workers' jobs being exported. It's a mad world.

» Customs Officer Aids Drug 'Mules'

Cocaine smugglers passing through Gatwick airport when Richard Riley, a Barbadian immigrant, was on duty had few worries about being searched, the *Daily Mail* reported last month. They knew the Customs Officer would escort them safely through the airport.

Riley even tipped off would-be traffickers about flights he knew his colleagues were planning to target. Over several years of betrayal he earned a lavish lifestyle, which included a top-of-the-range BMW. He was jailed for 15 years for his central role in the multi-million pound scheme.

» Australian Professor Opposes Non-White Immigration

Andrew Fraser, an associate professor in the law department at Macquarie University in Sydney, has said that African immigration increases crime, that there is a rising ruling class of Asians and that Australia should withdraw from refugee conventions to avoid becoming "a colony of the Third World". He added: "The fact is that

ordinary Australians are being pushed down the path to national suicide by their own political, religious and economic elites."

Needless to say, Professor Fraser is being attacked by the liberal-left who are calling for his expulsion from the Sydney university. He has said that it is only the so-called educated middle classes who opposed his views. "I think most ordinary people would find what I'm saying more or less self-evident", he said

Not for the first time, Australia and Britain share the same problems. About the only difference is that we play cricket slightly better.

» More Engineering Workers Lose Pensions

The latest group of workers to face losing their company pensions as a result of global capitalism are the 32,000 members of a pension fund covering workers at one of Britain's former engineering giants, the Trafalgar House engineering conglomerate. This was bought out by the Oslo-based industrial group Kvaerner in the mid '80s. However, Kvaerner sold its UK interests to a team of five former managers, including the debt-laden £1 billion pension fund. It now looks likely that the former British employees will face a serious reduction of their promised pensions. Mark Nay, an employee for 24 years, said: "No one has given us any assurance that our pension is safe."

identity

Editor: John Bean
October 2005

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 87, Ossett
Wakefield, WF5 8WN
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish.
Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

A New Tory Leader: Does it Matter?

» There are a number of *Identity* readers who are still under the illusion that our national salvation could come from the Conservative Party, and that the BNP should express an interest in who should be elected as the Tory leader. Of course there are good people in the Conservative Party, as there are in old Labour, but ever since the despicable Heath ousted Enoch Powell from their ranks the Tories have become part of our national problem and certainly not the nation's potential saviour.

Having said that, it is worth looking at some of the viewpoints expressed by leading lights in the Party, which show that liberalism now reigns virtually supreme. The result is that there is now little to distinguish between the Tories, New Labour and the Lib Dems. Even if we despair of the British electorate at times, they are not as foolish as some would suggest and can see this and therefore do not bother to vote, unless there is a strong BNP candidate standing who can convince them that he/she is worth voting for. Where they are foolish is in believing that that the Lib Dems offer a clear alternative to Labour and the Conservatives, whereas, for example, they are on record for advocating the removal of what feeble restrictions there are on mass immigration.

Returning to the Conservative leadership issue, what sort of Tory Party is it that can have Theresa May as a senior Shadow Minister when she openly attacks it for its failure to elect more ethnic minority and female MPs? This was in a speech to the Fawcett Society on September 10th when she called for positive discrimination to let women fight half of the party's top 100 seats.

"If the Conservative Party were a multinational company", she said, "it would be investigated for its equal opportunities policies. Looking at its elected representatives, you will see a predominantly white, male party."

Then, resorting to the doublespeak that we are accustomed to hearing from the Lib Dems and Blair's babes, she added: "Look at our dynamic and diverse society, made up of so many races and religions. And then consider the question, 'why doesn't that same British society think that we are the party to represent it?'"

If leadership contestant David Davis was the "Right Winger" he is alleged to be, surely we would have heard some condemnation of May's speech by now? Not a word, of course, no more than there was from the young moderate contender David Cameron, who in any case by his past record probably agrees with what she said.

Ken Clarke and the Bilderberg Group

Then we come to "good old Ken Clarke", the favourite of the Party's rank and file membership. I confess that I share his image of lacking dress sense, liking draft bitter, smoking small cigars (although I am down to four a week), liking trad jazz and, so I am told, of possessing a sense of humour. But that's about all we have in common.

He is, of course, the most recognised Europhile



enthusiast in his party. However, his recent conversion to admitting that we should not join the Euro currency system smacks of typical old party opportunism. What the media does not mention is Clarke's connections with the mysterious Bilderberg Group with its secret annual meetings of the world's 'movers and shakers' over the past fifty years and a very specific agenda of a United States of Europe.

We have recently been given sight of some e-mail correspondence, initiated by a Lynn Riley, debating on a Eurosceptic forum, which is trying to investigate Clarke's non-disclosure of "financial benefits" received whilst on Bilderberg business. The investigation centres on Tony Blair's and Ken Clarke's presence at the Bilderberg Group meeting in 1993, which influenced the then Tory Government's agreement of the Maastricht Treaty (Treason at Maastricht). Under pressure, Tony Blair has since entered his expenses and flights being paid by Bilderberg in our Parliament's Register of Members' Interests. To date, Clarke has refused to do so.

Eventually the Standards and Privileges Committee condemned Mr Clarke in a report numbered ISBN 010 201 298 9, and stated that he had obtained finance from "sponsors unknown". This was the first time the existence of the Bilderberg Group had been acknowledged in an official document available to the public.

In the e-mail debate, Lynn Riley stated: "We submitted evidence that these "sponsors" had a political agenda which was incompatible with the continued existence of the UK as a sovereign democratic nation, and that Clarke was subjected to undue political influence bought and paid for by the unknown sponsors. In addition, Clarke was continuing to lie about his expenses and had provided no evidence that he had paid for his flight."

These Tories have strange bedfellows and it really makes little difference who their new leader will be.

John Bean



As Blair & Co tighten the screw -

BNP Fight Back to Regain Our Freedoms

» Nick Griffin explains what was behind Labour's latest abortive attack on free speech

» "The police were waiting for us when we got to Dover. They knew exactly what they were looking for." This was what the driver of the lorry carrying the entire print-run of September's *Freedom* told the manager at the Slovakian print works where that issue of the paper was printed.

Even the fact that we have to get *Freedom* printed in Eastern Europe is a scandal caused by the relentless anti-BNP pressure on British print firms from leftist print union shop stewards and, more recently, journalists with clear links to the security services.

Dissidents opposed to the liberal-left multi-racial consensus have for some time been forced to produce our literature in conditions closer to those endured by the underground *Samizdat* publishers of the Soviet era than those that pertain in genuine democracies.

The fact that police and customs officers, who should have been watching for potential Islamic terrorists on the fourth anniversary of 9/11, were instead following Home Office orders to seize the newspaper of an opposition political party is a bigger scandal still.

The early morning seizure of last month's *Freedom* supplies has already entered the consciousness of the British nationalist movement as another milestone along the increasingly steep and slippery sloping path towards a left-fascist totalitarian state. What perhaps hasn't sunk in far enough is the extent to which it was only due to a well organised and aggressive BNP fight back that we got our papers back at all, and within just 36 hours.

The story of that fight back is worth telling, not least to give heart and confidence to those party members who will find themselves on the receiving end of future New Labour repression. With the right response and, most important of

all, the right attitude of traditional British bloody-mindedness, literally anything they throw at us can be turned to our advantage.

Within minutes of hearing that our papers had been seized, BNP National Treasurer John Walker arranged to head down there in the party's recently upgraded delivery van and confront the police in person. Mr Walker was already scheduled to be on the 'lit run' dropping propaganda supplies around the country, so pausing only to collect BNPPV equipment and a cameraman, September's lit run team set off for Dover.

Meanwhile, our National Press Officer also got to work, sending an email press statement about the Blair regime's latest attack on free speech and democracy to his large mailing list of reporters and editors in different sections of the media all over Britain. Every journalist who responded was given the telephone number of the main police station in Dover, where the phone was soon ringing off the hook.

Simultaneously, our own e-mail and telephone information bulletin operations swung into action. With more than 6,500 subscribers, our email bulletin in particular has a tremendous reach, especially as many of those who receive it in turn forward our material on to private contact lists of their own.

Many more thousands saw the news on our main website. The whole of the 'banned' issue was quickly put online, with 2,000 copies being downloaded in just a few hours. Donations began to come in immediately, and word of the scandal quickly filtered into all sorts of internet political discussion groups, with almost everyone who heard about it expressing outrage at the government move.

Within 24 hours, the affair had become national news, with unusually

objective reports being carried by *The Sun*, *Mirror* and the BBC. These led to a huge spike in hits on our website, with more than 8,000 further downloads of the 'banned' paper and donations totalling some £2,500.

ORDERED FROM ABOVE

After many hours of shame-faced evasion, Dover police finally admitted to John Walker that the seizure was part of a pre-planned operation ordered from above, and told him that the papers would all be kept until the Crown Prosecution Service had examined a sample copy and reached a decision on whether or not to prosecute under the anti-free speech Race Law section 19 of the Public Order Act. We would be told whether or not we could have our *Freedom*s back once the CPS had made a decision - a process that generally takes several months - and not until then. In other words "you can print your papers abroad if you want to, but we won't allow you to bring them into the country until they're so out of date they're useless."

Once we had heard this news, our operation was stepped up even further. Our website posted a merciless BNPPV satire on the police action, straight from Dover itself, which had been rushed back to London to be edited and uploaded as a really rapid and professional part of our fight back.

Web editor Steve Blake also took advantage of the big jump in readership by posting the text of my account in this year's April and July issues of *Identity* of the ways in which the Government and its allies undermine the democratic process. We know from the e-mails we received in response that thousands of new readers were shaken to the core by this account of the fraud, intimidation, cheating, lies and violence which are the

stock in trade of the political and media elite as they struggle to contain growing popular anger at what they are doing to our country.

Meanwhile our Publicity Department had completed a hard-hitting double-sided leaflet about the attempted theft of our *Freedoms*. This detailed some of the deeply harmful things that Blair & Co are willing or happy to let into Britain - Islamic terrorists, bushmeat, asylum seekers, AIDS carriers, etc and contrasted these with the only thing they try to keep out: *Freedom*.

This too was uploaded onto the BNP website, as a downloadable leaflet ready for people to print out and distribute, either in organised local party teams or as 'lone wolf' activists.

Finally, after several hours of telephone conferences between the BNP Legal Department, party leadership and our solicitors, John Walker sent a fax to Kent police. This gave them 24 hours to return our illegally seized papers or face an immediate High Court action not only for their return and for our costs, but also for substantial damages on account of the injury to our reputation arising from

the publicity suggesting that our newspaper deserves to be impounded by the police.

At this point, it seems that the Powers That Be reviewed the mess they'd got themselves into: A publicity gift to the BNP (the demonisation aspect notwithstanding); a growing campaign by our party to turn our members' anger into a fresh wave of recruitment opportunities and to expose the tyrannical nature of the Blair regime and, on top of all that, the risk of a high profile legal battle that could end in ignominious defeat for the police and the exposure of the role of New Labour ministers in the whole sordid affair.

"We're keeping sample copies to send to the CPS, but you can come and get your papers as soon as you like," was the crux of the phone call that John Walker received within an hour of sending the fax. Our fight back had worked; the right of the British public to read dissident material and to make up their own minds had been preserved - for one more month at least.

There will, of course, be fresh attacks in the future. In just the week in which the

contents of this issue of *Identity* were finalised we saw news reports which gave a pretty good picture of things to come:

Government willingness to use the dictatorial provisions of the Civil Contingencies Act, supposedly introduced to combat Islamic terrorism, against peaceful fuel protestors; the threat of legal actions against councils which refuse to allow homosexual 'weddings' - part of New Labour's neo-Marxist plan to further undermine traditional family values, and the decision by the European Court of Justice giving the European Commission the power to order British courts to fine or imprison people for breaching EU laws, regardless of our own legal system or the position of our own democratically elected government.

TERRORISTS BY ASSOCIATION

Finally, we had the simultaneous publication of a report lumping peaceful activity by BNP students at a number of universities together with recruitment by Islamic terror gangs, and further details of the Government plans to ban organisations regarded as supporting 'terrorism' - and to include in that definition doing anything that might "encourage divisions within communities".

Such is the warped logic of our masters' Alice in Wonderland multi-cultural fantasy that it is all too easy to predict that addressing genuine problems, such as racist attacks or sexual assaults on young whites, will fall foul of this new attack on freedom of speech. The right of working class communities to organise legitimate political responses to anti-white discrimination will be particularly badly hit. Ironically, this will create far deeper racial and religious hatred than any amount of agitation by extremists could possibly incite.

As I have written before, when a Government with very clear dictatorial tendencies passes laws giving itself the power to ban its most dangerous and hated opponents, it is only reasonable to assume that it intends to use that power. We are no longer facing a normal elected government that accepts the rules of democracy and the prescriptions of the British political tradition; we are up against a rolling coup d'état by the followers of an extremist cult who will stop at nothing to impose their agenda on



the society they hate.

Then there is their pressing short-term need to give their 'moderate' Muslim allies a juicy bone they can try to use to keep their community on board while action is taken against their 'extreme' brethren.

These two factors put together make it more likely than not that the present moves to place the BNP beyond the pale of 'acceptable' politics will end in our being banned.

Banned? All over? The end of our hopes? No, just another milestone on what we have always known would be a very long and rough road. When the left-liberal domination of our continent finally collapses, it will do so as quickly as its Soviet spiritual twin did in 1989, but it will take a long, long struggle to get us to that historic tipping point.

But among the hard times ahead before we reach that moment, current trends suggest that the open party political manifestation of our struggle will be forced to pass through the crucible of a ban.

So what would we do then? There are in theory three options:

1) Give up and stay at home. Well, it's not an option for me and, I know, it isn't one for you either, so let's forget about that one.

2) Turn to terrorism. Nothing would give the 'ex'-Marxists who infest New Labour more pleasure than to see the resistance to their tyranny and genocide of our people head down the terrorist blind alley. Their surveillance state is so far advanced that the security forces would be able to snuff out any such move with contemptuous ease, along with various individuals whose political efforts from time to time give Labour bigwigs sleepless nights. That path too is simply not an option.

3) To convert the political contest into

a civil rights struggle, complete with the non-violent direct action that goes with that territory, while simultaneously opening new fronts for recruitment, ideological education, asset acquisition, organisation and influence. Cultural initiatives, deep roots community work, creating businesses and economic structures, sports and social activities, political issue study circles, music, alternative media projects - these and many more offer ways in which to build a potentially highly effective decentralised movement.

By applying to it the effort, dedication and constant refinement of technique that has characterised our purely political advances over recent years, the multi-faceted result would be impossible for the Establishment to kill off without resort to levels of unprovoked and unjustifiable brutality that are simply out of the question in Western society, however much our masters and the impact of their evil policies distort it.

In fact, part of the value of such approaches is that many of them under our control would not even come to the attention of the Powers That Be, while quite a few similar things being done by ordinary members of the public would come under suspicion and resulting pressure, thereby steadily increasing the gap between the taxpayers and the out-of-touch tax-gobbling elite - the gulf in which unstoppable political change germinates regardless of either petty persecution or a full scale Gulag system.

That, of course, is all in the future. For now our primary job is, and will remain until the axe falls, building a conventional political organisation whose primary task is to contest and win elections, and doing so next May must still be our main target.

But there are also things we can do which can help to give us the experience and confidence to step out along new

paths effectively if or when the time comes when we have no choice. Even better, they are things that, by raising extra funds or by providing opportunities to bring good prospective new recruits into our orbit, will also help us to reach our short term BNP goals. If for some reason New Labour's mini-Stalins lose their nerve and don't go for a ban, these are all things that will fit in well with our continuation of politics by conventional means.

For various reasons such plans are best not outlined here. Nor do they need to be. All we need the people who make up our organisational and activist core to do is to look at new initiatives by the party, or by good independent groups clearly on a very similar wavelength, with open and supportive minds, and to seek ways in which to roll out in their own areas good ideas they hear of in other parts of the country.

For the sake of illustration I will, however, give one example: Would a move to encourage the popular celebration of the almost lost European festival of Easter - with its wonderful blend of ancient earth-born paganism and heavily Westernised traditional Christianity - be a political event, a fundraiser, a non-political family fun day, the beginnings of a grass-roots move to take back Christianity from the established liberal churches and to turn it into a weapon for Western survival, or a powerful symbolic rejection of multiculturalism?

Where, in such events, could even a New Labour judge find the borderlines between children enjoying themselves, people discovering their identity, positive cultural nationalism, the beginnings of a trend to reverse the dhimmification of the mainstream churches in the face of Islam, community politics, the recruitment of new political activists, and the raising of the considerable sums of money needed to pay the fines and the legal bills which are central to any successful civil rights struggle?

No one could tell - save the historians who will one day point to the role of many such events in creating the ever more powerful and attractive alternative that burst back into the openly political arena, to register its victory in winning the hearts and minds of a defining number of our people from under the very noses of those who mistakenly thought that decrees and prison bars and the seizure of newspapers could lock away an idea whose time has come.

LEADING FROM THE FRONT: BNP National Treasurer John Walker



Memories of John Tyndall

» By Ian Buckley

I last spoke to John Tyndall a mere four or five days before his sudden death. For a brief moment, as he spoke on the phone, his voice seemed weaker than usual, somehow tired and exhausted. Then he recovered himself and once more assumed his usual tone, resembling that of a headmaster of a good school, genial but authoritative. For the first time I was sorry for him.

He was being dragged through the courts, facing endless legalistic rigmarole, designed mainly to enrich lawyers, facing at the end the possible fate of seven years' imprisonment for the 'crime' of speaking his mind. Whatever happened to the concept of free speech for which generations of soldiers supposedly fought?

The system in its eagerness to prosecute even forgot that his (wrongful) trial should have been held in Lancashire, not Yorkshire, as that was the site of his supposed offence. The stress of it all must have had a great and adverse effect on a man of his age, despite the brave face he showed whilst being prosecuted and persecuted. Like Dr. David Kelly, he was an honourable man being harassed to his death by the minions of New Labour. Ironically enough, his death came almost exactly upon the second anniversary of Dr. Kelly's suicide - or murder.

Like King Theoden in *The Lord of the Rings*, John probably recognised that he would not live to see any kind of victory over the overwhelming forces arrayed against him - and us - but felt that he had to carry on fighting for honour's sake.

NOT A HATER

He was a real man facing up to what the Indian mystics have called the *Kali Yuga*, the worst age of the world. Tyndall always fought against the corrupt, brutalised and awful *thing* this once great nation has become. But contrary to the false assertions of the most disgraceful press in the entire world, he was not a 'hater'.

Indeed, in *The Eleventh Hour*, he speaks of his agreement with the views of boxer Muhammad Ali: just as Ali wanted self-determination for his people, Tyndall similarly wanted self-determination for ours. The official notion that JT was somehow consumed with anger and hatred against those who were not white or not British is too absurd to deserve refutation.



Typical of the behaviour of the real John - as opposed to the mythical one of officialdom - was his rushing me a copy of *The Eleventh Hour* by first class post the moment he realised I hadn't read it.

In addition to being a distinguished orator, John was a great polemical writer. He was also an expert editor, something I came to appreciate through his judicious improvement of my own writings. Despite the fact that I was (allegedly) the one who had been educated to a higher level, JT noticed the split infinitives and other occasional errors in my articles that I had missed. Yet another illustration of the on-going collapse of educational standards, I suppose.

Whether you read the *Times* or *Daily Mirror*, the obituaries written for John Tyndall were an utter disgrace. About the kindest description around was the term 'fantasist', employed by the *Guardian*. But who exactly is the 'fantasist'? Surely the politicians of the old parties like Blair, who see peace, democracy and prosperity in Iraq and Afghanistan as those countries crumble into bloody chaos. John Tyndall was never responsible for harming a black person, more than can be said for Blair who had another earlier, and equally useless war in Sierra Leone. Like most recent African wars, this was fought almost solely in the interests of the organised exploiters of mineral deposits and precious metals.

The general level of journalistic hate was no doubt an expression of the venom of the corrupt Establishment, rather than the actual opinion of the individual writers concerned, assuming they actually have any opinions. After all, the scribblers need the System more than the System needs (sackable) scribblers. To hell with them!

Better to remember John with the words of Sophocles:

*Frenzy hath seized thy dearest son,
Who from thy shores in glory came
The first in valour and in fame;
Thy deeds that he hath done*





Falsification of History by the Liberal Establishment

» L.M Stewart comments on some buried facts.



» The liberalism espoused by New Labour and its Con/Lib-Dem fellow travellers relies for its survival on a constant mixture of distortion and outright lies being fed to the public. One aspect of this is the revision of history, designed to give the impression that liberalism is not the perversion of normal thinking that we know it to be, but merely an updated version of the opinions of decent folk through the ages.

When Britons went to war in 1939, they did so to defend the British way of life from what they believed was the threat of an expansionist, totalitarian Germany. Today, the liberal-marxist clique which is systematically destroying that very thing from within, portrays as 'nazi' or 'fascist' principles which were common to both sides in various degrees in that conflict: national and racial pride, belief in discipline and order, and a devotion to traditional values, marriage, and the family: and condemns them as 'racist', 'sexist', 'elitist', 'homophobic', etc, etc..

To read a modern account of the background to the Second World War, one would think that today's immoral,

corrupt, materialistic, crime-ridden multi-racial society would have had the support of our soldiers, sailors, and airmen. Nothing, of course, could be further from the truth.

Winston Churchill, in an address to the Palestine Royal Commission in 1937 said "I do not admit ... that a great wrong has been done to the Red Indians of America, or the Black people of Australia ... by the fact that a stronger race, a higher grade race... has come in and taken its place." And Churchill was once a Liberal!

Such a view would lead to his expulsion from a Tory Party run by the likes of Michael Howard, David Davis, David Cameron, Liam Fox, Kenneth Clarke, and Malcolm Rifkind, if he didn't kick them out first.

AMERICAN CIVIL WAR

Another example is the American Civil War (1861-1865) which is portrayed in a whole series of films and articles as being all about freeing the slaves and establishing an integrated society. In fact it was about which should take precedence, the rights of individual states (the slogan was 'States' Rights') or of the whole Union itself. Slavery was a secondary issue, and one where opinion cut right across the Northern (Union) - Southern (Confederate) divide.

The famous Union General Ulysses S. Grant, who later became the 18th President, wrote on April 19, 1861 "In all this I can see but the doom of slavery. The North do not want, nor will they want, to interfere with the institution. But they will refuse for all time to give it protection unless the South shall return soon to their allegiance..."

Meanwhile it should be remembered that the greatest commander of the War, the Confederate General Robert E. Lee, was opposed to slavery on principle.

And the 'Great Emancipator', Abraham Lincoln? He




condemned slavery as in conflict with the principles of civil liberty, denying the Negro the status of a human being, and potentially promoting callousness and cruelty. But did he fight the war to free the slaves? His words in his First Inaugural Address in 1861, make it very clear that he did not:

"I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the institution of slavery in the States where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so, and I have no inclination to do so."

More important: did he advocate racial integration if slavery were abolished? No, he opposed it, as his speech at Peoria, Illinois, October 16, 1854 makes equally clear:

"If all earthly power were given me, I should not know what to do, as to the existing institution. My first impulse would be to *free all the slaves and send them to Liberia - to their own native land*. But a moment's reflection would convince me that whatever of high hope (as I think there is) there may be in this, in the long run its sudden execution is impossible. If they were all landed there in a day, they would all perish in the next ten days; and there are not surplus shipping and surplus money enough in the world to carry them there in many times ten days.

What then? Free them all, and keep them among us as underlings? Is it quite certain that this betters their condition? I think I would not hold one in slavery, at any rate; yet the point is not clear enough for me to denounce people upon. *What next? Free them, and make them politically and socially, our equals? My own feelings will not*



ABRAHAM LINCOLN: Many of his pronouncements have been dropped down the PC Memory Hole

admit of this; and if mine would, we well know that those of the great mass of white people will not. "(author's italics)

Makes you think, doesn't it? Well, it certainly should. Not just about what people in the past actually believed, but how today the entire political and educational establishment cynically twists the truth to make their own vicious, bigoted, and warped view of life seem normal.

SAT Tests Aim To Make All Students Equal

» This latest article in our series on education is by a teacher who is also a BNP member. She therefore uses the pen name of Zara Powell.

» Looking back at primary education two decades ago or more, children sat their Eleven Plus. An invigilator monitored the children whilst the exam was in progress to safeguard against any form of cheating. Today, broadly speaking, suffice to suggest that the equivalent for Year 6 pupils in their final year at primary school is to sit the 'S.A.T' 'test' or 'exam'. The exception being that it seems the invigilators are taking it upon themselves to prompt children by pointing to the correct answer to certain questions that seem to pose certain difficulties. An act of up-grading a school's 'Ofsted' rating perhaps, or more likely in the name of the ongoing 'equality' experiment!

DEFERRED SUCCESS

Further to the above debates are currently in hand regarding the abolition of the word 'failure' in connection with any exam taken, in that incorrect or unaccomplished parts of an exam may be 'deferred' to a later date. The need to ensure such 'flexibility' must again be a requirement of the obsession with 'equality' it seems, thus



ensuring an environment of greater advantage for a child with apparent learning (or behavioural) difficulties. Though one child of a certain genre shall clearly benefit with improved exam results, it would be based partly on a falsehood of academic abilities. At the other end of the spectrum, a particularly 'talented' child, one who does not seem to fit into this politically correct 'equality' (we're all the same) status, will undoubtedly 'get lost in the crowd'.

MANAGING BREAKDOWNS IN LEARNING

Individual schools and colleges adopt their own systems of pupil management and support provided to them in any 'episode of learning breakdown' (unacceptable behaviour). Their aim is to support students and also establish a positive ethos for learning.

With the above in mind it seems some secondary schools take it upon themselves to offer treats (bribes) to students that are more often than not 'in an episode of learning breakdown'; whereby if the student who is normally 'in an episode of learning breakdown' has behaved in a more acceptable fashion (by school standards) for a week, they are offered a special all expenses paid lunch (courtesy of the tax payer no doubt). In contrast to this a hard working student is lucky to be the recipient of a sticker if noticed at all!

Management of support may be 'ipsative' (measured against itself); individual students are measured in the context of their current level of learning.

When a student is in 'an episode of learning breakdown' all students and adults, both educators and parents, are expected to maintain the self esteem of the student in 'learning breakdown' at all times. Therefore, a student may be the cause of much carnage and vandalism throughout his educational environment or of physical assault upon his peers. But his victims (who may be suffering from broken bones at the hand of the student in 'learning breakdown') are required to not only turn a blind



eye to his immoral acts but aid in the student's maintenance of personal self esteem.

The use of 'custodial reform' or 'pejorative language' is not conducive to supporting students in 'an episode of learning breakdown'. Consequently, a student in 'learning breakdown' may not be spoken to in a harsh manner for fear of jeopardising his self esteem or arousing feelings of self blame.

Finally, the above practice of attitudinal and teacher values are epitomised in the '*The Drama of History, Fines and Verrier* (1974)'. This book details the research findings undergone on many children of varying ages into the teaching of history to students through 'drama'. Evidence based on the findings of '*Fines and Verrier*' suggest that when such a technique is used it develops an historical understanding in a wider range of students of variant age and ability. It is more evidence highlighting the establishment's apparent ongoing experimental quest to fulfil 'equal opportunities for all'.

IN CONCLUSION:

Effectively, it seems when a student is 'in an episode of learning breakdown' the student is led to believe (to his relief and amazement, no doubt) by his educators, that he is 'not at fault'. It is not he who is unacceptable but the act of misdemeanour, consistent with 'no self blame'. The highly probable fact that the student intended committing a crime or indulging in destructive behaviour in the first instance, thereby making a conscious decision to undertake doing it, clearly has no bearing in these situations within schools today.

It would seem therefore that students committing what may be generally considered as criminal offences within the education environment, are not only exempt from immediate, but also long term or permanent expulsion (multiple acts of 'learning breakdown' are required before the latter considerations are undertaken). But such students are receiving encouragement from their educators and peers (who are probably suffering with adverse psychological confusion) to partake in a practical role 'play or drama' no less. It could be argued that the inappropriate, even whimsical action, taken by educators today to enforce discipline, law and order within the school environment is such that it could be suggested that schools and colleges are in fact acting as facilitators to student violators and their criminal acts



Anti-White Attacks in Worcester

» The Editor often receives short reports of race attacks carried out by Asian, African and very occasionally West Indian origin immigrants against white people. We publish below a letter sent by BNP Worcester Branch Organiser, Martin Roberts, to the local MP, Mike Foster, BBC local radio and the two local newspapers. The local radio and Worcester Standard said they would get back to Martin, but so far they have not. The MP asked for the surnames of the people involved, which were supplied. Again, no further action.

The Editor of Identity has read the witness statements and can vouch for their authenticity. The name of the alleged assailants would suggest that they are a Sikh family, or possibly Hindus. We also find it significant that the police officer interviewing a complainant said: "Next, I suppose, the race card will be played".

Dear Sirs

I am the Worcester Organiser of the British National Party and write to bring to your attention the clear fact that race attacks are being carried out not only against persons of other ethnic origin, but against white, English people living and working in this fair city.

I was visited one evening in June of this year by two young white ladies who were extremely upset. After a cup of coffee, the ladies told me of their ordeals and the details of these horrific racial attacks. I will not use their names in this text. However, should the matter be taken further, I have in my possession written statements from the women.

One evening two Asian women banged on A's door screaming hysterical abuse that they were going to kill her and her neighbour. The police were contacted, but despite a promise to attend and take a statement, no-one turned up. The following evening, the Asian women came back, entered the neighbour's house and proceeded to beat her up. Children were present at the time and were very frightened and were crying. Again A dialled 999 and the operator told the lady that the police were on their way. The operator said she could hear the commotion in the background and asked A to stay on the line. Typically the police were too late to catch them in this act of terror. The policeman also stated that he could not stay as he had more important matters to attend to. Following this a further attempt was made to attack the family. This time A's partner shouted at the Asian family to leave his property. A's partner was arrested for racial abuse and is now facing charges.

Since these incidents the offenders have regularly driven past the women's houses, using their hands to imitate firing guns and slitting throats and continually shouting obscenities, including threats to kill.

In a separate incident the same family attacked the woman's son, pulling up on to the pavement, getting out and attacking him by kicking him, punching him round the body and head and tearing his jacket. The police attended here, taking a brief statement, took the woman's son home and said they would return to take more details. The police did not return.

In a further separate incident, a young white girl from an unconnected family on the same estate, had her arm broken by members of the same Asian family. In yet



another, a young boy was beaten up, with the whole incident being filmed on CCTV. Conveniently, the Police managed to lose the footage.

The women who visited my house confirmed that others on the estate are regularly abused in this way and many families have been threatened. When reported to the police, the only comment has been that the 'Race card would be played'.

After the women brought this to my attention, we contacted the police to register a formal complaint. Several meetings have been arranged, once with myself in attendance, the victim family and the Acting Chief Constable of West Mercia Police, who assured us that action would be taken. Since that meeting, the family have been advised that the Crown Prosecution Service have advised not to pursue the charges in relation to racial abuse.

Of late, all the police seem concerned about is getting all the families involved to sit around a table to negotiate settlement of the 'dispute'. The victims do not want this. They want justice. They have since been told the only thing they can do is to ensure that they lock their doors well at night. However, the most major concern of all is that they have been told if they do not sit round the table to discuss what has been going on with the offending family, the charges of racial abuse against A's partner will be reinstated and pursued.

Martin Roberts



How Small Genetic Differences Give Racial Diversity

» When the Human Genome Project was completed in 2000, it was widely touted that its result showed no genetic basis for race. In fact some scientists of the liberal-left consensus went so far as to dub race a "biological fiction". John Bean says that developments since then have clearly demonstrated that quite small genetic differences can produce disproportionate results that substantiate the fact that racial differences are a reality and that they are far more complex than just differences in skin colour and hair texture.

» When we are told that as the difference in DNA between peoples from different parts of the globe is so small therefore there is really no such thing as 'race', let us first remember that the difference between humans and chimpanzees is only just over one per cent. Yet despite sharing 99 per cent of the same DNA how is it that we are so different in appearance, behaviour and, above all, in mental abilities? Our pet dogs and cats also share around 80 per cent of our DNA. Approximately 75 per cent of mouse genes so far identified have a firm counterpart in the human genome.

Furthermore, according to Prof Stylianos Antonarakis of the University

of Geneva Medical School and Dr Ewen Kirkness of the Institute of Genomic Research, Maryland, latest DNA research shows that some DNA regions of humans, dogs, and species as distant as elephant and wallaby are nearly identical. Importantly, they also found that huge tracts of human DNA, previously written off as meaningless junk, have been found to contain a hitherto unrecognised "genetic grammar", making the language of our genes much more complex than previously thought. More on the importance of this DNA junk in carrying group, or racial, differences later. But for the moment let it be noted that small though DNA differences may be the

effects they can have are considerable,

We appreciate that for some readers we may be preaching the art of egg-sucking for grandmothers. For those who have not really bothered about 'genes', 'chromosomes' and DNA, the following is a basic guide suitable for anyone with O-level Science.

The characteristics you inherit from your parents and other ancestors are in your inherited genes. This genetic information forms part of the chromosomes which are carried in DNA, which is a chemical called deoxyribonucleic acid. The chromosomes, which exist in pairs, are



threadlike structures, usually found in the cell nucleus of animals and plants carrying the genes. The DNA molecule takes the structure of a double helix, i.e. a pair of parallel helices with a common axis, and it exists in the nucleus of every living cell. This was the revolutionary discovery made by the British scientists James Watson and Francis Crick in 1953, including the fact that the two strands were complementary. The complete DNA sequence housed in a cell of an organism is known as its genome.

DNA consists of long sequences of four chemical 'letters' C,T,G, and A strung together in different combinations like different coloured beads on a necklace. The information of DNA is encoded in the precise order of these four chemicals; like writing but using fewer symbols.

Genes are the smallest element of DNA and are the basis of heredity. There are many thousands of genes that create each chromosome. In the human body there are 46 pairs of chromosomes. They are sections of data that is received from our parents; one chromosome from each parent and they combine in the embryo to create a set. It was originally thought that the genetic message comes equally from each parent, but it now

seems that some children might end up with three, four or even more copies of a gene from one parent. Although one can often see that offspring are related, even with large families each child, unless an identical twin, will be different in varying degrees. This is because the number of different offspring a pair of human parents could produce are to the power of 47 (remember there are 46 pairs of chromosomes that can be shuffled about) or 140,000,000,000,000.

MITOCHONDRIAL DNA

It is the nuclear DNA that really makes us what we are. It consists of around 25,000 genes, compared with a paltry 37 that our mothers pass to us in mitochondria.

Mitochondria are the powerhouse of the cell. They consume the sugars that our bodies have converted from food and in return produce electricity with which to power the cell. But it is considered to be separate from the cell, because it has its own DNA, and this DNA is unaffected by other genetic exchanges.

Although you may have inherited all manner of characteristics through your nuclear DNA from parents, grandparents and back many genera-

tions, there is one factor that remains constant: the mitochondrial DNA hasn't altered at all. It remains intact through the female line. Male sperm contains only enough mitochondria to power the sperm to the surface of the egg it does not enter the egg. The egg, however, contains mitochondria that have been passed from mother to daughter for countless generations.

The only way for mitochondrial DNA to alter is by natural mutations, which occur very slowly when compared with the almost frantic gene mixing we and our parents take part in. Importantly, according to Adrian Woolfson, even the smallest DNA changes can result in significant changes to the structure and function of a living creature. In fact minute changes can have disproportionate results. It is this natural mutation of mitochondrial DNA occurring just occasionally over countless generations that has led to group differences and thereby the establishment of separate human races.

Because the rate of mitochondrial genetic mutation is slow it can be used as a clock to turn back time to a period before the mutations had crept in. The common belief at present is that modern Europeans originated from Africa (although some, such as Prof.

Carleton S. Coon contend that *homo sapiens* evolved in several global areas). When mitochondrial DNA from modern sub-Saharan African populations are sampled they can be compared with European mitochondrial DNA. The mutation difference between the two populations can then be compared and a 'clock' can be produced to give a time-scale which indicates when modern Europeans first left Africa (assuming they did).

Another aspect of the slowness of mitochondrial genetic mutation is that the study of DNA samples from human skeletal remains (based on a branch of science known as 'archeogenetics') showed that the first human settlers arrived in Britain around 12,000 BC as Britain was thawing from the last ice age. They were from what is now known as Western Germany, the Netherlands and Denmark.

According to David Miles, formerly chief arch-aeologist at English Heritage and a research fellow at Oxford University, in his book, *The Tribes of Britain*, the genetic make-up of modern indigenous Britons has hardly changed from those first Ice Age arrivals. He states that 80 per cent of the average white British person shares the same genetic characteristics as those early nomads.

Some nationalists have misinterpreted this important information by suggesting that the Anglo Saxons and Vikings, and even the Celts, must have had a minimal racial contribution to white British stock if 80 per cent of us share the same genes as the first arrivals of 12,000BC. The point here is that all these people were but different tribes of a common race (the first known homeland of the Celts was in Southern Germany) which once stretched from the Urals to the Atlantic north of the Alps, and were found even in modern day Turkey and Iraq. Genetic testing has been carried out on modern day white Danes, Dutchmen and Germans from Saxony and in nearly all cases no difference in DNA has been found.

The human DNA carries an estimated 25,000 genes and not much more than 0.1 per cent, i.e. 320 genes, account for the differences between individuals and races, whether it be freckles, Afro hair, ginger hair or hereditary in-growing toenails. Geneticist Steve Scherer, a senior scientist at Toronto's Hospital for Sick

Children, has said: "Based on what we now know it (the genetic difference) is probably in the 0.2 per cent range and in the end it may even be as high as one per cent."

Within the last five years scientists have carried out in-depth work to chart these genetic variations. One of these, Francis, a former leader of the Human Genome Project, had to admit that "well-intentioned statements" about the biological insignificance of race may have left the wrong impression: "It is not strictly true that race or ethnicity has no biological connection".

GENETIC MARKERS

Again, the importance of the small genetic differences between people groups/races was suggested in a paper this year by Hua Tang and other scientists on "Genetic Structure, Self-Identified Race/Ethnicity". Hua Tang et al contended that in a study of Blacks, Whites, Hispanics and Asians in 12 different U.S. locations and three in Taiwan, that there are 326 genetic markers on racial differences.

A variation in a single gene may explain why some people can withstand pain - or other physical or emotional stress - better than others, a team from the University of Michigan and the National Institutes of Health reported in a recent issue of *Science*.


If we bear in mind that flies and worms have around half as many genes as humans and that fish, rats and mice have almost the same number as us, then it cannot be genes alone that account for the differences between us. As Woolfson explains, the main difference between the genes of

'higher' organisms, such as vertebrates, and those of 'lower' organisms is that they are 'smarter', which means simply that each gene is more complex, as are its behavioural patterns. As genes become smarter, the organisms they build and operate become more complex.

We also have to consider that as much as 98% of the human genome contains 'junk', which are DNA sequences that lack protein-coding genes and about which scientists still need to know much more. It is now being found that a huge amount of information lies outside genes, scattered throughout the 'junk' and is responsible for the maintenance, regulation and reprogramming of genetic processes.

Now pulling all the above information together, it can be seen, for example, that the difference between the complexity of a fly and a human can be explained not only by the extra 10,000 or so genes found in a human, but in the number of different gene behavioural patterns each genome is capable of producing. The difference is a huge number, larger than the number of elementary particles in the known universe, according to Woolfson. This means that a relatively small variation in the number of genes between two species has the potential to generate a tremendous difference in biological complexity.

If one applies this to the 300 plus gene differences between, for example, the European and the African, it would explain the biological, physical and metaphysical differences between these two races of the common species *homo sapiens*.



Several laboratory investigations carried out on behalf of the Police and/or the FBI in the USA have confirmed that genetic testing can determine a person's exact racial profile. A classic report was that by Josh Noel, a staff writer for the *The Advocate News*, Florida, 06/04/03. It stated:

"A private genetics lab altered the hunt for the south Louisiana serial killer after telling investigators that the person they sought was a black man. For eight months the investigation had focussed on white men.

Tony Frudakis, chief executive officer of DNAPrint Genomics said that he told the task force that the serial killer was 85% Sub-Saharan and 15% Native American based on analysis of the killer's DNA..."

Eventually a black man was arrested as his DNA matched exactly the lab's report. Frudakis has said his company can determine a person's ancestral past by analysing 73 DNA markers and narrowing the result to proportions in four categories: East Asian, Indo-European, Native American and Sub-Saharan African.

The Guardian, 16/06/05, reported that a drug (BiDil) was now available in America which was aimed specifically at African-Americans to remedy heart failure. Among New Yorkers aged 45 to 54 the death rate from heart disease among black people is 55% higher than among whites. The Food & Drug Authority's stamp of approval for the drug was being opposed by some liberals because it would "give the stamp of authority on racial biological differences".

In an article on genetic medicines in *The Times*, 18/6/05, Kenan Malik said that according to the American Heart Association the death rate amongst Black Americans was five times that of Whites. Malek also pointed out that Northern Europeans are more likely to suffer from cystic fibrosis than other groups. Tay-Sachs, a fatal disease of the central nervous system, particularly affects Ashkenazi Jews. Beta-blockers appear to work less effectively for African-Americans than those of European descent.

The New Scientist, 20/1/05 reported that a length of DNA has been found in a fifth of Europeans which is very rare in Africans and non-existent in Asians. This DNA is said to be 3 million years old and can only have

passed to modern Europeans in the last 50,000 years, otherwise it would be present today in all other races.

In 1992 Bo Rybeck, Director of the Swedish National Defence Research Institute, stated that as we become able to identify the DNA variations of different races and ethnic groups, we will be able to determine the difference between blacks and whites and Orientals and Jews and Swedes and Finns and develop an agent that "will kill only a particular group."

The *Sunday Times*, 15/11/1998, revealed in a report from Israel: "Israel is working on a biological weapon that would harm Arabs but not Jews, according to Israeli military and western intelligence sources. In developing their 'ethno-bomb', Israeli scientists are trying to exploit medical advances by identifying genes carried by some Arabs."

A North Korean team of microbiologists are also said to be working on an ethno-bomb which would destroy white races.

EARLIER EVIDENCE

Before the secrets of DNA began to be unravelled and showed clearly that there were many genetic markers indicating racial differences, ample evidence had existed but was ignored or suppressed by the Marxist-liberal intellectual 'elite' and its media mouthpieces. All differences were due to environmental factors, they said.

This was the standard answer to the findings of countless IQ tests over the past century which have consistently shown that Eastern Asians (Chinese, Koreans, Japanese) have a higher IQ than Europeans, who in turn are some 15% above people of African origin.

The most in-depth investigation into IQ was probably that carried out by Herrnstein and Murray, the results of which were published in *The Bell Curve*, 1994. This was greeted in the main with abuse by those who could not challenge their findings on racial IQ differences and that they were largely genetic and hereditary.

This may be connected with the fact that the Sub-Saharan African has a brain weighing just under 1kg, compared with 1240gms for Europeans and 1300gms for East Asians.

We are frequently told that there is a shortage of West Indian, African and

Asian blood donors in the UK, but at the same time we are told there is no difference in the ratio of the blood groups in the various racial groupings. Similarly, with kidney, heart and other organ transplants emphasis is given to matching the race of the donor and the recipient. More recently doctors have found, to their apparent surprise, that this also applies to the success of bone marrow transplants.

It has long been known that West Indians and African are almost exclusively susceptible to the hereditary blood characteristic, sickle cell anaemia. This makes them more receptive to jaundice, pneumonia and TB, sometimes leading to death.

The UK Prostate Cancer Charity issued a report in March 2005 that Prostate cancer among African Caribbean men is three times more prevalent than among whites.

American Indians have a tendency to hypertension and high blood pressure and, like the Japanese, have a low tolerance to alcohol.

We could probably continue with another page of specific biological, physical and mental differences to support our view that all these minor differences add up to there being a substantial difference between the world's main races. However, the emphasis is on *difference*, not on superiority of any one race over another because it would depend on the yardstick chosen.

To end on a personal note, the human genome project revealed that there are some 1,400 potential illnesses/diseases carried by single gene markers, and one of them I suffer from. This is Dupuytren's Contracture, which causes one or more fingers to bend in towards the palm; a 'disease' which I shared with Margaret Thatcher.

Prior to an operation my surgeon said that it only occurs among people of "North European descent" and is sometimes known as the "Scandinavian disease". The highest rates of incidence world-wide are in Iceland, followed by Denmark, and in Britain, the Orkneys and Shetlands followed by the north east of England (where many of my ancestors came from). Of course, distorted fingers are of little concern for the future of the world's races, but distorted reporting concealing or denying the genetic basis of each race's distinctiveness is of great concern.



Racial Atrocities in New Orleans

» **Mark Collett reports on the events which transpired after one of America's worst natural disasters - racially motivated violence on an unprecedented scale.**

» After days of being buffeted with winds of well over 100mph, New Orleans was brought to its knees. But after the hurricane came the real terror, racial violence on an unprecedented scale. Black gangs raping and pillaging in an orgy of violence and greed as the authorities struggled to help survivors within the city.

Nationalists are often labelled as scare-mongers by the national and local media, accused of entering estates and stirring up 'hate' which is built on decent people's fears. We are mocked in papers like the *Mirror* and other gutter rags for allegedly trying to sell tales of ethnic hatred against whites. In my forthcoming Race Act trial I will stand accused of trying to give the 'illusion' "that the coloured hordes are coming over the horizon to seize what is ours" (as my main police interrogator put it).

Due to recent events, especially the 7/7 bombings, a sizeable proportion of British whites are now waking up to the fact that many people from ethnic backgrounds don't actually like us whites very much at all, but many still refuse to believe that this is any more than individuals with chips on their shoulders. Most whites still take the view that whilst some of ethnic origin will often find dislike for whitey, this is not in any way an organised or even widespread feeling throughout the ethnic population.

If anyone was to suggest to most British people that in the event of society losing its balance, when law and order no longer reign, in a situation where the authorities lay powerless and ineffective, the ethnic populations would organise themselves along the lines of their ethnicity before targeting those of European origin, they would probably be laughed at.

THE PRIMAL STATE OF MAN

In New Orleans there is very little doubt that this is the case. When the modern comforts of electricity, central heating, television and fresh running water are removed coupled with the fact that law and order cannot be maintained by force, people regress to a more primal state. In this brave new world people are not judged on the values of their executive saloon car, or their overdraft limit

but by the colour of their skin.

As if back to an earlier state of man's development people form into tribes based not on what football team they support, or what area code they live in but based on common bonds which cannot simply be changed with the sway of opinion or bought and sold. Groupings are decided by likenesses which can be instantly identified and have had the backing of Mother Nature for millennia.

This is of course a totally natural thing. It is only normal for us to have a yearning to be around those we feel to be like us - especially in times of great need and strife where we as individuals would be placed under such harsh conditions that to survive alone would be extremely difficult if not impossible.

In New Orleans all of the above came to fruition the devastation brought by the hurricane and the subsequent floods left this city in a state where civilisation had failed. All modern comforts were eliminated, and the threat of death from the flood waters and their toxic contents - some 45,000 times more than in normal drinking water - were an ever present harsh reality.

As the hurricane died away the inevitable looting began, this was carried out almost exclusively by those of African-American descent. The blacks who remained in the city had organised themselves into gangs, based on their ethnicity and were looting. However looting although generally attributed to those from ethnic background, specifically those of African decent, has no racial motive. In fact looting is simply a product of man's greed and





opportunistic nature.

As the days passed the situation in New Orleans worsened. What made things worse was that those charged with upholding law and order had either fled or were too busy helping survivors to uphold the law. Much has been made of late in the media of the Bush administration's failure to effectively provide aid to New Orleans. As fresh food rapidly ran out or became inedible through either passage of time or contamination, and the only source of clean water could be found from bottles, the gangs in the city became more and more frantic and violent.

With the situation getting worse the survivors wishing to leave the city clustered in the New Orleans Superdome which eventually played host to over 38,000 refugees. The police by now were finding it increasingly hard to help those trapped in other parts of the city as armed black gangs which were now roaming the city as if in some post-apocalyptic sci-fi film, had began opening fire on anyone who might challenge their authority.

As news reports broke of one particular gang opening fire upon a police helicopter dropping off much needed supplies to trapped residents the world reacted with shock. A bustling world famous city had not only been crushed by devastating natural disasters but now was in the hands of armed gangs organised along the lines of racial origin.

By this time the police in the city were starting to lose all hope. One police officer told a British tourist: "Go to hell - it's every man for himself."¹

With the police taking this approach it wasn't long before the organised black gangs began targeting other groups of survivors, most notably those with access to provisions and fresh water who were mainly contained in the Superdome. In the same way in which they had organised themselves on racial grounds - they also chose who they would attack on racial grounds. The crimes committed were horrifying to say the least. The *Manchester Evening News* report was typical:

"Jamie Trout, 22, an economics student from Sunderland, kept a record of his terrifying ordeal. He wrote: "It was like something out of Lord of the Flies - one minute everything is calm and civil, the next it descends into chaos. A man has been arrested for raping a seven-year-old in the toilet, this place is hell. The smell is horrendous; there are toilets overflowing and people everywhere."

"Jamie, who had been coaching football to disabled

children as part of the Camp America scheme, said people were shouting racial abuse at the Britons because they were white."¹

The US army, aware of the problem but due to the pressing circumstance, were unable to do little but watch: "The "internationals", as the army labelled the stranded tourists, were among the few white people in the stadium. Marked out by their skin colour and unfamiliar accents, they were verbally abused, while their luggage made them targets for robbery."²

British tourists were soon to wake up and were in turn forced to organise themselves against the torrent of racial abuse as the gangs wandered the cavernous convention centre at night raping and murdering women and children.

Zoe Smith, 21, from Hull, told how students set up a security cordon when the power briefly went down in the Superdome amid fears they were going to be attacked. "All us girls sat in the middle while the boys sat on the outside, with chairs as protection," she said.¹

The army was totally ineffective at stopping what was going on. Vastly outnumbered and overstretched all they could do was to offer sound advice to the whites inside the dome:

"The army told us to stick in a group and for the women to sit in the middle with the men around the outside and to be ready to defend ourselves," Mr. Nelson, from Epsom, Surrey, said. "Their urgency scared us. I sat on the outside, really scared by this point, sitting waiting for God knows what. We waited and waited, I didn't sleep. A lot of the girls had been groped."²

As British survivors tearfully made their returns to the UK, finally escaping the hell of New Orleans one summed up the feeling: "They (blacks) hated us...they were plotting to murder us."³

The Superdome had been turned into a living hell where survival rested on being part of a group - a group which was formed on racial grounds. Women could not go to the toilets for fear of being raped. Dead bodies of those murdered by the gangs littered the area and the Army and police were powerless to stop it. Eventually as reinforcements reached the area the National Guard restored order, but they were warned by their commanding officers to keep the press away from any scenes of





atrocities. Louisiana governor Kathleen Blanco told the press: "They (the National Guard) have M-16s and they're locked and loaded. These troops know how to shoot and kill."¹

This whole sad event goes to prove just how artificial multi-racial and multi-cultural societies are. They are always on the brink of utter chaos, only maintained by keeping the citizens comfy and their minds busy with trivial matters. As soon as these comforts and amusements are removed the whole fabric of society collapses and those

within it move into a far more natural and racially aware state of mind. It is not as if the powers that be do not know this, that's why they are so eager to keep up a minimum standard of living based on state benefits, and never let up in their multi-racial propaganda.

Britain has seen its fair share of racial rioting, whether that is the black riots of the 80s or the Muslim riots of the last few years. But we are yet to see a state in any British city where law and order are totally removed from the equation. I for one wouldn't like to be present in any of our multi-racial city centres if that nightmare ever happens. So much for Black and White unite.

References:

1. *Manchester Evening News*:

http://www.manchesteronline.co.uk/men/news/s/172/172478_anarchy_and_agony_in_new_orleans.html

2. *The Times*:

http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,11069-1765482_2.00.html

3. *The Age.com*:

<http://www.theage.com.au/news/world/murder-and-mayhem-in-superdome/2005/09/03/1125302772301.html>

More sources of interest:

Reuters: <http://tvnz.co.nz/view/page/411319/608687>

News.com:

<http://www.news.com.au/story/0,10117,16488099-1702,00.html>


TIME TO MAKE A STAND

A COLLECTION OF PATRIOTIC
BRITISH FOLK SONGS

PERFORMED BY A GROUP OF POPULAR
PATRIOTIC MUSICIANS

**The brand-new CD from
Great White Records**

**Available now from Excalibur
£10.99 (inc p&p) see page 27**



Includes the popular nationalist anthem 'All Stand Together' and the poignant tribute to Gavin Hopley, '19Years'

GREATWHITE

© Great White Records (2005)

BOOK REVIEW: Why Johnny Can't Think

» Reviewed by Chris Beverley, Director Excalibur

» Good political books that our party can sell are unfortunately not in abundant supply. By good political books, I mean political books with something of real substance to offer nationalists. There are plenty of wishy-washy 'patriotic' books out there written by Tory-Boys and such like, and occasionally we may even stock such books if they fill a particular gap in our booklist. At the other side of the scale, there are the books that may well be 'must-reads' but are simply too blunt on certain delicate subjects for us to sell. It is quite possible that as further repressive legislation is spewed from the Westminster sewer, our booklist will lose even more good nationalist titles, as we are forced to cut our booklist in order to stay legal.

An ideal book for us, therefore, is an ideologically sound political book that is clearly legal and suitable for sale quite openly through Excalibur. And with this in mind, it was with much pleasure that I read through my copy of Robert Whitaker's *Why Johnny Can't Think*.


As the subtitle of the book suggests, the underlying theme of the book is an attack on formal education in today's America, although just about all of what is written is all too relevant for us in Britain too. Whitaker mercilessly attacks the so-called 'social' sciences, as well as the disease of Political Correctness that has led to them being regarded as worthy subjects of study, to be viewed with equal respect as the traditional sciences. As Whitaker states: "There is not the slightest relationship between a Ph.D. in physics and a Ph.D. in sociology." If this offends any *ID* readers who swagger around boasting proudly of their sociology degree, then tough!

DECLARATION OF WAR

Although based on the behaviour and opinions of the university professors with whom Whitaker is well acquainted, *Why Johnny Can't Think* is also an open declaration of war on both Liberalism/Marxism, and on the so-called 'respectable conservatives' who claim to oppose these poisonous twins. As Joe Sobran points out in the foreword: "Whitaker is at his funniest and most scathing when he discusses the liberal's most valuable ally: the 'respectable' conservative, whose role is to reinforce liberalism by treating it with respect."

As any nationalist who has a mental age of over 10 knows, our worst enemies are not the degenerates that turn up to demonstrate against us, or even the dribbling hordes that make up the rank and file of the Labour Party. Nationalism's worst enemies are the so-called 'conservatives' who claim to stand for many of the same things that we do, yet are as much a part of the cancer affecting our nation as Blair and Co.

Hitler, explains Whitaker, is the god of Political Correctness. This may seem like a strange claim, but only when it is looked at from the Judeo-Christian-Islamic point of view, where it is automatically assumed that God is all good. This is not the case in all religions. In the ancient Greek



£13 (inc. £1 p&p)
Available from Excalibur, see page 27

belief system the gods and goddesses were morally neutral, possessing varying individual traits, as indeed were the ancient gods of the Germanic world, including our own Anglo-Saxon gods. Anyone who has sat through Wagner's *Ring* cycle or read *The Iliad* will get the point here. There are also examples of bad gods, such as the god Moloch, for whom the Carthaginians burned children alive. Hitler is an altogether bad god, and the doctrine of Political Correctness measures everything against its god, the evil Adolf Hitler.

Thus, for example, the scientific study of genetics when applied to the different races of the Earth, is bad and taboo, simply because this is something that Hitler was interested in. In the religion of Political Correctness, everything that Hitler believed in is evil and bad, and everything that he opposed is good. And any talk of babies and bathwater at this point will certainly not be tolerated by the Politically Correct Priesthood either!

Why Johnny Can't Think is a brilliant book and a thoroughly entertaining read. Whilst a detailed index of Whitaker's sources would have been useful, and at times his words resemble something of a rant, he argues his points comprehensively and leaves the reader in no doubt as to the point that he is making. His use of metaphors is masterful, and helps the reader to put the subject under discussing into clear perspective. On a personal note, it was also refreshing to read a well-written book by an author who clearly has about as much respect for our enemies as I do.

If you are the sort of person who argues with reds at demos and wastes time trying to convince them that they are wrong about us, or if you are on the fringes of our party and you arrogantly believe that you are too 'respectable' to get fully involved, this book probably isn't for you. If you are a nationalist who hates our enemies and loves our cause then this is a book that you will love.



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

October 2005

News Commentary

EU To Control British Defence

With the backing of the Lib Dems, and only half-hearted opposition from some of the Tories, Blair is still pursuing agreements that will end up placing Britain's defence completely under EU control. This will cover operation control as well as committing this country to buy European weaponry, irrespective of whether or not it is best suited for our requirements. All of this is being hid from the public eye.

For example, assuming that the project to build two new large aircraft carriers does go ahead, it now looks more and more likely that it will be part of an Anglo-French project, with one being built for France at the same time. This will mean that the French company Thales will now undertake half the work, with even one shipyard building the same blocks for each of the three carriers. Don't bank on it being a British shipyard.

Full marks must be given to Dr Richard North for the campaign he has been conducting, mainly on his website: www.eureferendum.blogspot.com, to expose what has been going on. He says that there is evidence of a "guiding mind" leading to a stealthy reorganisation of British armed forces aimed at harmonising both doctrines and equipment with continental forces. In a report entitled "Smoking Gun" of August 6th, Dr North said:

"What we suspected was that following the summit meeting between Tony Blair and Jacques Chirac in December 1998 at St.Malo - when Blair agreed to integrate Britain's armed forces with those of the EU - there must have been a British government 'directive' to the MoD telling it to adopt the new policy. But, as we now learn, if there had been such a 'directive' - and there surely must have been - this was not the primary executive instrument. This, it turns out was actually a new treaty, signed between the British government and five other nations - France, Germany, Spain, Italy and Sweden - on 27 July 2000."

Dr North said that how this got through the system without anyone noticing its real significance was because it was given a misleading title. It was called a "Framework agreement", without mentioning the word "treaty". In fact it is a document comprising 48 Articles but you have to reach Articles 45-48 before you reach the meat, the give away. How many of our old gang politicians would even bother to read two or three of the articles, let alone 48?

Blacks In, Whites Out

Immigration has added 1.2 million to our population in the last seven years, according to the latest figures from the Office For National Statistics. However, these figures did not include those who arrived illegally or those who overstayed their visas. Importantly, nor does it include the large number of children who were born in Britain of Afro-Asian parents during that period.

But the true picture of the changing face of Britain does not end there. During this seven year period an average of 240,000 aboriginal Britons have fled the country each year, thus compounding the drastic change that is happening to the make-up of our nation. You will meet these escapees along the Costas in Spain, in France or in the Greek islands. Whatever initial reason they give for turning their backs on Britain, give them long enough and they will finally admit that it is because immigration has got out of hand. Many of them are still only in their forties, but the simple souls have not appreciated that the White world is under attack and that the tidal wave of non-European immigrants will also engulf their own specific Continental paradise, certainly by the time they reach seventy, unless the BNP and the growing power of fellow European Nationalist movements can win the day.

Meanwhile, New Labour, who are only in power by the strength of the non-White vote, now try to fool their residual White voters by pointing out that the number of new asylum seekers is falling. Of course it is, when they now allow them to come in with work permits instead! And they try to keep quiet the fact that although talking tough on deporting the 300,000 plus failed asylum applicants, Migrationwatch UK says that only 1,000 a month are being returned.

A Salute To A Brave Italian Lady

As I write, Oriana Fallaci lies dying of cancer in New York. In her mid-seventies, this renowned journalist dare not return to her native Italy where she faces being imprisoned for up to two years under an indictment by an Italian judge for "vilification" of "any religion permitted by the state". The "vilification" was said have been committed against Muslims in her book *The Force of Reason*, which has sold over a million copies.

Its main point is that the European Continent is on the verge of becoming a dominion of Islam and that the people of the West have surrendered themselves fecklessly to the "sons of Allah".

Interviewed by Tunku Varadarajan for the *Wall Street Journal* and republished in the *Sunday Telegraph*, 4/9/05, the brave Oriana said: "Europe is no longer Europe, it is 'Eurabia', a colony of Islam, where the Islamic invasion does not proceed only in a physical sense but also in a mental and cultural sense. Servility to the invaders has poisoned democracy, with obvious consequences for the freedom of thought and for the concept of liberty."

Interestingly, although she is now by nature of the conservative right, she still calls herself a revolutionary, perhaps a throwback to her left-wing origins; "because I do what conservatives in Europe don't do, which is that I don't accept being treated as a delinquent".

The charge brought against Oriana Fallaci was inspired by the work of a Muslim extremist, one Adel Smith (yes, 'Smith'). He made news in Italy by filing a

suit for the removal of crucifixes from all public school classrooms (in which he had been mainly successful) and also, allegedly, for flinging a crucifix from the window of a hospital room where his mother was being treated.

This suppression of free speech is now scheduled to come to Britain, if New Labour has its way in getting its "Religious Hatred" Bill on the statute books.

New Orleans - Fact and Fiction

It was just some six weeks ago that our media told us that as a result of Hurricane Katrina New Orleans would be uninhabitable for two years, that up to 20,000 bodies would be eventually recovered, and that the only people left behind to drown or die of starvation were the Blacks.

The reality is that life has returned not only to the old French Quarter (the French had the sense to build on ground above sea level) but also the business centre, and the number of dead was less than 500. This misreporting by our media was motivated by the malaise of anti-Americanism - White America, that is - and reached its peak with the image given that it was almost exclusively the Black population who suffered. The fact was that 70 per cent of the Black population managed to get away before the hurricane.

Those who did not either had no means of transport to do so, did not wish to leave their homes, or were part of the criminal underclass who went looting in general and raping and killing in the Superdrome hell hole, where white women tourists were the principal targets.

The American Government can certainly be criticised for its slowness in getting aid to the area, but this is partly down to the reluctance of the States to hand over any power to the Federal Government. Again, in those early stages the Black Mayor of New Orleans, Ray Nagin, was running around like a decapitated chicken and found that half his police had either legged it or had joined the looters. Then there were several cases where firemen and other aid volunteers from different states throughout America turned up to help they were first sent off for four-hour sexual harassment courses (see www.americanthinker.com).

As for the idea that aid was slow in coming because they were mainly Black areas, four of the five districts worst affected by the storm had white majorities ranging from 67 to 88 per cent. No mention of that on the BBC! Incidentally, one week after Hurricane Katrina a typhoon hit Japan. There were several dead, 300,000 people were made homeless, but there was no looting or raping. Draw your own conclusions.

A Choice For the Vacant Plinth

Despite the sympathies we have for the unfortunate artist's model, the repellent statue temporarily occupying the fourth plinth in Trafalgar Square reeks of politically correct worship of the abnormal.

Several suggestions have been made for a permanent occupant of this fourth plinth who is different from the known and unknown Victorian generals who

abound in the Square and its environs. Red Ken Livingstone, with the aid of London ratepayers' money, is adamant that the occupant should be Nelson Mandela. Whatever Mandela has done for South Africa (apart from increasing the influence of the Communist Party in his earlier days) he has done nothing for London.

As a change from a gallant soldier, sailor or airman from our past, we would suggest a statue of a man who saved the lives of countless thousands of Londoners in the 19th and 20th centuries. Men, women and children who would have died of typhus, cholera and other diseases if it was not for the engineer who built the London sewage system: Joseph Bazalgette (1819 - 1891)

In 1856 London's Metropolitan Board of Works was established and it elected Bazalgette as its chief engineer. Three years before, nearly 11,000 Londoners had died of cholera. By 1866 most of London was connected to a sewer network devised by Bazalgette and slowly cholera and typhus became the killers of the past.

Cricket's Win For Nationalism

The humourless, self-important, multi-cultural scribe of the *Guardian*, Yasmin Alibhai-Brown forecast that a win for England against Australia in the recent 'Ashes' would represent a victory for the worst sort of nationalism. The thing that struck me most about the Oval crowds, where England's cricketers finally pulled it off, was that they were 99 per cent white, as were the several hundred thousand people who greeted the triumphant (all white) English team on their tour round London's West End the following day. Perhaps that was the "worst sort of nationalism" that Alibhai-Brown and her fellow left-liberal scribblers feared. Well, it certainly failed to meet Norman Tebbit's famous "cricket test", which defined that cricket-loving immigrants - and their descendants - who supported the English team could be accepted as true Britons, for which we can have some sympathy. Few Asian devotees turned up at any of the test matches; and Blacks are now in a majority among the surrounding population at the Oval, but those who turned up to watch the last test could be counted on two hands.

England's win (supported by many cricket-loving Welsh and Scots) had another favourable outcome. Duncan Fletcher, the team coach for the last six years, was born in Rhodesia (now called Zimbabwe, since the Marxist buffoon Mugabe decided to name the country after the ruins of an Arab slave-trading fort). Although all four of his grandparents were born in Britain, Duncan Fletcher's application to become a British citizen was rejected for 15 years - until the Ashes win.

Perhaps my enthusiasm for cricket stems from being a past President of our village cricket club. Nevertheless, this must not hide the fact that our ruling politicians consider sporting events as part of the "bread and circuses" (*panem et circenses*) to take the public's mind off the things that really matter for our future. My attention was tactfully drawn to this by our Chairman when, on returning his phone call, I pointed out that I had been watching the last hour's play at the Oval test!

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

» Tories' Abandonment of Principles

My political philosophy was forged in RAF huts during WW2. Until then politics was a closed book to me. I listened to the political convictions expressed and decided that it was Conservatism that reflected my natural instincts. The principles of preservation of traditional cultural values and institutions, democracy, to care about our heritage, our country and its history, freedom of speech, rule of law, rights of the individual, respect for my fellow citizens and tolerance sat comfortably on my shoulders.

Shortly after my 'demob' I joined the Young Conservatives and later the senior branch. I found my association with both branches of the Party pleasurable and instructive, whose opinions endorsed my decision to become a Tory.

Edward Heath's manipulation of our entry into Europe and his sacking of Enoch Powell, the most intelligent and competent MP ever to enter Parliament, gave me qualms. Had I changed or had the Party? I soon realised that it was the latter. I left the Party.

The insidious abandonment of their principles by the Conservatives started before Labour blatantly discarded their socialist traditions. It meant that

politicians of both parties could, like the Liberals, be free to follow any agenda that suited them.

They no longer represented their constituents, only themselves. They could now get their greedy snouts into the troughs. No wonder many of the electorate fail to vote, saying they are all the same, and it's true. If they failed at Westminster there was always the chance of becoming an EU Commissioner.

The greatest threat to our country today is not terrorism but the EU. However, MEPs' powers are very restricted. The amount of time that any one of them can address the European Parliament in any one debate is just 90 seconds. In fact, the European Council is not legally bound to take account of any opinion or amendment that comes from the Parliament. They are, in fact, toothless and only there to support the façade of democracy. This is why a UKIP vote is a wasted vote.

The BNP again presented me with a policy that contained my political principles. It is the only party that can save our country.

**George P. Avery
York**

>> Will Muslims Inform on the Bombers?

We are told that the bombers represent only a tiny minority of Muslims, yet can anyone doubt that there are few, if any, Muslims who would inform on them? They can disappear into their communities without fear of apprehension. At the same time our people are warned against Islamophobia, while having to endure the results of multiculturalism. Thanks God for brave men like Nick Griffin who have the courage to speak up for our countrymen, brainwashed as they are by politicians determined to misrepresent them as the enemy.

People of my generation have witnessed the collapse of a once proud and prosperous country into the sick man of Europe. Why are our

hospitals unable to cope? Overpopulation has seen services struggling to deal with ever-growing queues of people arriving here, many with Third World diseases. TB, eradicated after centuries, has made its reappearance. AIDS is another growing menace. How long before smallpox raises its head? Had it not been for the huge influx of immigrants, our medical services would probably have been able to cope, although the weight of bureaucracy has not helped.

**Mrs Iris Graham
Birmingham**

>> Do We Need the Islamic East?

Nick Griffin, in his thought-provoking polemic on Islam (August issue)

recommends that we disengage completely with the Islamic East. While that might be a sensible option in many respects, I am not sure that it is possible on a global scale.

Firstly, the West in general still needs Islamic oil, without which the West would grind to a halt. The obvious answer to this is to pour more money into alternative sources - including nuclear power - and one wonders why the West is not doing more in this respect.

Secondly, America in particular is petrified that Islam will perfect the art of making nuclear weapons, and we should be likewise. A future container vessel from the Gulf might carry a big surprise into Liverpool or Felixstowe Docks. Admittedly the West, in the guise of France and Russia, have not exactly done their best to stop this Islamic advance, but that is another



problem. One might also argue that Pakistan already has the bomb, but my sources indicate that their 'nuclear test' was a sham involving tonnes of conventional explosive in order to defuse military tensions with India.

The bottom line is that disengaging from the East might be very dangerous; in which case the American alternative of positive interference in the East might be the lesser of two evils.

Ralph Ellis
Cheshire

>> Nationalist Vanguard

At this year's Red, White & Blue I was privileged enough to hear Nick Griffin's excellent speech. The speech stressed the need for sacrifice by BNP members, particularly officials in the party. Mr Griffin's own experience of late have involved sacrifice and persecution just for expressing his right to freedom of speech. I whole-heartedly agree with Nick and believe all officials in the party should follow his fine example. As nationalists we must be a vanguard to carry our people forward and be able to put up with the heavy tasks and enormous sacrifices which confront us. Only if we give everything, as our chairman and Mark Collett have, can we achieve victory and a future for our children.

Ian Moore
Bromley and Lewisham BNP

>> Sir Bob's Little Earner

Bob Geldof has upped his charges for an after-dinner speech by 60 per cent, which means that during his tour of America he will be pocketing £50,000 per appearance.

The idea that the stage manager of the pointless Live 8 rock concerts is able to enhance his own earnings on the back of the poverty-stricken Africans may make you feel a little queasy.

But at least Sir Bob will be able to tell his audiences why if he and his chum, "our Tony", made poverty history, as they claimed, there are

now eight million people in Niger, Mali and Mauritania facing famine?

Dr Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen

>> Tongue in Chinese Cheek?

I watched with great interest as recent news item on Sky News concerning a shortage of female children in China. Seemingly the authorities are worried that this could endanger future generations of Chinese. Whilst contemplating their fate I came up with a perfect solution to the problem that could save China and Britain at the same time.

Britain could give China all our existing politicians with the exception of the BNP, of course. China would then, under the rule of our "Old Gang", start to import female immigrants from the Third World, thus alleviating the shortage whilst at the same time enriching the country immeasurably with a rich tapestry of diversity never before seen in China. China would then need more immigrants to act as policemen to control some of the undesirable side effects of multiculturalism. Furthermore, China would be able to swamp the West with goods as its labour would become even cheaper than it is now.

Meanwhile, back in Britain the BNP would assume government, immigration would be halted, Trevor Philips would be promoted to cleaning public toilets, and some form of normality would be restored. Criminals would be treated as criminals and anti-white bias would be a thing of the past. The police would be allowed to do their job without political interference and the creeps masquerading as Chief Constables would be aiding Trevor Philips in his new worthwhile occupation.

D. Adams
Manchester

>> End of Retirement - Into the BNP

Two years ago I took the opportunity to retire early after a full working life. As well as other jobs I spent 12 years in the Army in the REME. I have

worked since I was 14, never claimed the dole or any other benefit and never been a burden on the State.

However, I find I cannot sit still any longer and watch my country being ripped apart. I have now decided to end my retirement, return to work and rearrange my finances to allow me to support the BNP. This may take some time as commitments need to be revised (Sky subscriptions cancelled, etc) and suitable work found. But in the meantime I will support you in any way I can.

Please carry on with the good work, and may I wish Mr Griffin well in the forthcoming (ludicrous) case.

Adrian Stanley
Anglesey

>> Losing the Culture War

In his early book *The Meaning of Conservatism*, the philosopher Roger Scruton argued that where Thatcher had lost the war against the Left was in the Culture War. Here, the Left had indoctrinated our young and destroyed our culture and traditions, our education, art, and popular music. Anyone who saw Live 8, the swan song for geriatric and nouveau shallow pop singers, could see how they had triumphed.

That idea came from Gramsci, *The Long March Through the Institutions* is how they won. The New Left of the 1960s followed this strategy from Herbert Marcuse and the Frankfurt school. One of the clearest expositions of this is in Pat Buchanan's book *The Death of the West*.

Be of good heart though, for Live 8 also showed pop music to be in its death throes as the old geriatrics fade into their graves. What possible identification can young people have with these old fogies from the 60s and 70s? This shows that it is time for a revival of our folk traditions.

David Hamilton
St. Albans

>> Please Note:

Letters to the Editor kept under 150 words in length have a greater chance of publication.



The Peril of World Overpopulation

» Alastair Harper says sometimes a book is brought to your attention which completely takes you over. Such is William Stanton's *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations, 1750 - 2000*, which Alastair reviews here, with particular attention to the sections covering History, Consequences and the Issues Nation by Nation

» The subject of world population overgrowth and its inherent self-destructive tendency was not unknown to me, and indeed of late I have tried without much success to introduce it to the letter columns of our more serious national dailies.

Dr Stanton's book, however, with its patent academic objectivity, clarity, zest and massive accumulation of facts, has persuaded me to assume the posture of a disciple, so impressed am I at the vital message of the work and the eleventh hour at which the clock now stands in this grievously overpopulated world.

The subject of fateful population overgrowth was brought to the attention of Western academic thought in 1798 by the economist Revd Thomas Malthus, to whose memory Dr Stanton dedicates his work.

Malthus in his *Essay on the Principle of Population* predicted that the soaring populations of England in his time would outstrip available food supplies, and without their being restrained, catastrophe would follow. Malthus perceived one salient outcome of the economic activity of his time, but did not foresee the other rising and seemingly illimitable potential of capitalist productiveness with its ability to satisfy, for the time being at least, the demand created by the brimming populations of the era of the Industrial Revolution.

The extension of the Malthusian concept, however, which Stanton so graphically expounds, demonstrates the finite nature of the world's resources: oil, water, grain, fish, wood, etc, and the imminence of their fateful depletion for a world population which has exploded from three to six billion in the last fifty years, and which is largely made up of starving Third World masses.

This unquestionable conclusion is wilfully ignored by the politically intoxicated Utopians and their grasping associates in the global capitalist camp. The perpetual shortfall

The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750 – 2000

*Histories, Consequences, Issues
Nation by Nation*

Published by Multi-Science Publishing Company Ltd. ISBN 0 906522 21 8.
Note: Contact the BNP's book service at Excalibur who in most cases can obtain books currently not held in stock. Excalibur are at PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. Tel: 07074 532 267.

between the population growth of the world's poorest three-quarters and their economic performance arises from the fact that the more their economies improve through Western subvention, the more will their populations rise. It is as though they were pursuing their shadows to their inevitable extinction.

NEED FOR BIRTH CONTROL

If before the exhaustion of available world resources a substantial measure

of birth control could be introduced to the affected multitudes, the fatal imbalance might be set right, but, as Stanton demonstrates throughout his book, such a possibility is unlikely in the present political world climate.

The clapped-out optimist argument against this dire conclusion is contained in the 'demographic transition' theory of Adolphe Landry, who in 1934 expounded the view that as people became richer, they would, for a variety of reasons, have fewer children. Populations could be

stabilised by providing financial and technical aid to obviate the traditional need for children, who were expected to care, in their day, for the older generation. When mankind was lifted out of poverty world population growth would naturally cease.

This one-dimensional, utopian theory has been torpedoed by human experience. Crudely put, up to this point we see that the more the world's increasing numbers of poor feed, the more they breed.

Though most West European nations, and some of the East Europeans freed from Communist tyranny, have paraded recent falls in total fertility rate, most are showing higher aggregate populations by reason of mass immigration from the Third World, a manifestation which seems to have no end. The US, by far the richest of all nations, and the one most likely to demonstrate the discredited saving grace of 'demographic transition', has a population increase of around three million per annum.

As Stanton reveals, in the developing nations, almost without exception poverty is getting worse, no matter how much aid is received. This is inevitable when population growth, stimulated by aid, outpaces economic growth. The unpalatable truth which donor nations refuse to take on board is that population control must come before poverty reduction.

The developing populations of the world and their bewildering rapidity of increase is demonstrated by Stanton in 235 individual graphs covering the years 1800 - 2000. Each graph is accompanied by a synopsis of the nations and regions involved in terms of historic, political, economic and ethnic evidences.

EMBARGO ON DISCUSSION

Whether the neo-liberal Western establishment realises that its system and ethos are inherently incapable of dealing with the runaway world population, or whether they hope some miracle will arrive to avert the coming disaster, there is currently an embargo on discussion of such works as Dr Stanton's, and his predecessors the neo-Malthusians Paul and Anne Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb* (1968), and indeed on the output of critical demography almost everywhere.

However, as Aldous Huxley points out, 'Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored'.

Central to Stanton's thesis of accelerating population overgrowth is his graph which demonstrates to even the most doubting critic the almost perpendicular ascent of human numbers from the mid-eighteenth century. From the birth of Christ till around 1300, when there was a perceptible drop in numbers associated with the Black Death, world population very slowly advanced to 600 million. Thereafter there was a rise as new lands were opened up by European colonisation and death control, abated in the European homelands by reason of the upsurge in agricultural output gleaned from excess land bequeathed by the multitude of plague victims.

About 1750 with the appearance of new European technologies, the graph begins its almost perpendicular ascent, and by the time of the discovery of the first oil well in 1859, world population had reached one billion. The commissioning of the first nuclear power station in 1956 saw world population at three billion and by the year 2000 this number had doubled to six billion.

The cause of this unprecedented demographic change was plainly the Industrial Revolution in Europe, and its subsequent spread, by imitation, throughout the world, with concomitant improvements in agriculture and animal husbandry. By these latter changes the mould of subsistence farming was broken. For up to 10,000 years Man had wrought a precarious living from the soil, subject always to the whim of pests and weather. The resulting increase in cereal and animal

yields must have seemed miraculous to those whose lives spanned the two agronomic systems of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries.

Coupled with the increase of food supply, the discoveries in science, physical and medical, pushed the death rate back, and though for us nineteenth-century mortality statistics still seem primitive, the combination of the increased food supply and medical therapeutics caused the birthrate to spiral upwards. Previously the Darwinian struggle had ruled all. Stanton entitles the period from around 1750 till 2000 the era of Weak Restraints on Growth, which he now demonstrates is rapidly coming to an end worldwide. The struggle for resources and land outlined by Darwin is reappearing all over the developing world, particularly in Africa and Muslim nations. Exempt from the fatal engagement are those Muslim nations, oil rich, Saudi and the Emirates. Their existence depends entirely on oil resources, and the world at large anxiously views the sinking levels of this primary power source.

Where oil is not a resource, developing nations are everywhere squaring up for a land grab; the most recent example being the crisis in Sudan/Darfur, where genocide is following the classic pattern. Rwanda (1994) was a foretaste of the fatal racial contests which will characterize the coming decades. The Gaza Strip, Kosovo, Israel, Macedonia, and the West Bank are all theatres imminently explosive. The prospect of defusing the components of these certain calamities is nil.

Stanton examines in depth the matter of sustainability for world communities. The neo-liberal world





order fondly believes, if indeed it questions it at all, that the outlook for human existence is optimistic. Stanton's conclusion, as with so many other sidelined critics of the situation, is that *homo sapiens* are presently eating the world up and destroying its ecology in the process.

The wonder is that those who are given a platform to bray the loudest against the rape of the earth, the Green movement, the Friends of the Earth *et al.*, are silent on world overpopulation, and those who see crystal clear that our excessive numbers must be controlled now are denied a voice in any of the councils of world governments. Those whom the Gods would destroy they first make mad - or bad.

"Clearly the availability of basic resources for even the present generation is inadequate, and usually on a marked downward trend. Half the world's wetlands and natural forests disappeared in the twentieth century, according to The World's Resources in 2000."

"Given that six billion humans are today failing to conserve a single planetary resource of any significance it is pretty obvious that the present generation is helping itself lavishly to resources which it should be protecting for the use and enjoyment of its predicted eight billion children and ten billion grand-children. Not forgetting that one third at least of the present generation in the developing world has so few resources that it lives in abject poverty."

Stanton closely examines current political and social attitudes and shows how inimical they are to demographic

solutions of the population crisis.

The aggressive breeding patterns of religio-political institutions like Islam and the Catholic Church run completely at variance with any rational control of world population. For Islam birth control is literally anathema.

In the presentation of population statistics by the Establishment, percentages are paraded, whilst numerical growth is ignored. The myth is enhanced by descriptions of national increase in births as merely 0.3% per annum, whereas the translation in raw numbers might well be 3 million: a clear indication that the Establishment is bent on concealing the depth of the demographic crisis.

DEEPENING CRISIS

Stanton dissects such moral issues as 'human rights', the 'sanctity of human life' and 'democracy' as a demographer, and fears they will have little future as the population crisis deepens. 'The 'right' to have lots of babies is already depriving the human race of its 'right' to enjoy the pleasure of living on an uncrowded, peaceful, and bountiful planet'.

Congestion, migration, refugees, asylum seekers, globalisation and multi-culturalism are all critically discussed, and each is shown to have a negative influence on the world's current population crisis. That he judges the afore-mentioned as bad news is enough for his work to be excluded from any public discussion by the 'liberal' establishment.

It should be made clear that Stanton arrives at his conclusions as a demographer and not as a politician.

A few quotes indicate the

pessimism which Dr Stanton attaches to the foregoing:

"The West's economy has grown faster than its population, result: wealth. In the developing world, population growth has outpaced economic growth, result: poverty. In this book the simple relationship of the size of the economic cake and the number of people each wanting a slice of it is called the Micawberish Rule because Mr Micawber famously pinpointed a similar vital link between family income and expenditure. So now, according to a spokesperson for the UN High Commission for Refugees 'Western Europe' is seen as a haven for the rest of the world."

"Currently Western Europe's population is increasing at about one million per year, almost entirely due to immigration from poor, overpopulated countries. Sir Charles Galton Darwin, Charles Darwin's grandson, (The Mankind Quarterly, July 1960), visualised a situation whereby through straightforward natural selection European national identities would be extinguished."

The Green Revolution, Feeding the World, life-saving charities, national sentimentality and the Nanny State emerge discreditably from examination by Dr Stanton, who is, however, ready to give his support to the vision of a world where everyone has the possibility of a reasonable and benign future, though what pass today as neo-liberal utopian policies are shown to have exactly the opposite outcome.

The progress of the G8 nations to mitigate the population crisis which is upon us will be nil, since, in the first place, they will not admit to the existence of the problem.

In July at the G8 Summit, leaders discussed the deepest problems of contemporary world society. The need to control world over-population was not mentioned on the agenda. It is not a conspiracy of silence, it is a diktat.

Dr Stanton's challenging conclusion to the ultimate problem of human existence in the twenty-first century I shall leave undiscussed in the hope that the undisclosed culmination of this remarkable book will encourage its purchase by all those who have come to realise the dreadful finality of the world population crisis.




Excalibur

www.excaliburheritage.com - 07074 532 267

Please make all cheques payable to "Excalibur" and send to PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.
When ordering please clearly specify which item you require and the size.

TRAFalGAR

A CELEBRATION OF
HORATIO, LORD NELSON



£11
(inc. £1 p&p)

The Gift
of Music

'England expects that every man will do his duty'. Robust and hearty music for a national hero from the sea songs and concert hall favourites of Admiral Lord Nelson's time, specially created for the 200th anniversary of the Battle of Trafalgar. - Over 1 hour of playing time



Admiral Lord Nelson bust

Height: 10cm/4 inches approx

£12
(inc. £3 p&p)

England Expects

Nelson to Victory DVD



£14.99 (inc. p&p to UK)

This is a beautifully shot and detailed account of the Life of Nelson, the foremost in a long list of British naval heroes. It is a celebration of his life, timed to coincide with the 200th anniversary of his death at the moment of his greatest glory at the Battle of Trafalgar. Made in location across England and following Nelson's footsteps to the places he was most familiar with, the film is presented by Nick Griffin and Eddy Butler and also features BNP Cultural Officer Jonathan Bowden.

ARREST RACIST CHILD RAPISTS

"It's time for the police to stop turning a blind eye to anti-white racist child abuse. Racist perverts should be behind bars or deported, not free to groom, molest, drug and rape girls as young as twelve. Chief Constable Cramphorn must get tough with the Muslim paedophile gangs, or resign."

This was the reaction of Bradford BNP council leader Dr. Jim Lewthwaite to the shocking news that the police had secretly scrapped the unit investigating the sexual abuse of hundreds of young white girls by gangs of Muslim men in West and South Yorkshire.

With locals furious about this Politically Correct betrayal, the BNP, together with the Keighley group Mothers Against Paedophiles, has called a major national

National Demonstration Keighley Town Hall.

2.00 p.m. Saturday 5th November

demonstration. The aim is to provide a legitimate and sensible way for the white community to express its anger, and to pressure the police to reinstate the investigation and take action against the racist perverts. See you there!

| Yes, I want to help the British National Party's campaign against the institutionalised anti-white racism that infests our police forces. Please find enclosed my cheque payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.

£10

£25

£1000

£50

£100

Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 £30

Overseas surface mail £16.50 £33

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 £35

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 £48

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 £50

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50;
80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50